

A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE INSERTION OF A CONTRA-CONTINUOUS (BAIRE-ONE) FUNCTION

MAJID MIRMIRAN

ABSTRACT. A sufficient condition for the insertion of a contra-continuous (resp. Baire-one) function between two comparable real-valued functions is given on the topological spaces that Λ -sets are open (resp. G_δ -sets).

1. INTRODUCTION

Results of Katětov [4], [5] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [1], are used in order to give a sufficient condition for the insertion of a contra-continuous (resp. Baire-one) function between two comparable real-valued functions on the topological spaces that Λ -sets [7] are open (resp. G_δ -sets).

A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in 1986 [7]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them V -sets. Complements of V -sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called Λ -sets [7].

A real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called *contra-continuous* [2] (resp. *Baire-one*) if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is closed (resp. F_σ -set) in X .

If g and f are real-valued functions defined on a space X , we write $g \leq f$ in case $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for all x in X .

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a contra-continuous (Baire-one) function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.

Definition. Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . We define the subsets A^Λ and A^V as follows: $A^\Lambda = \bigcap \{O : O \supseteq A, O \in (X, \tau)\}$ and $A^V = \bigcup \{F : F \subseteq A, F^c \in (X, \tau)\}$. In [3], [6], A^Λ is called the *kernel* of A .

The following first two definitions are due to, or are modifications of, conditions considered in [4], [5].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C08, 54C10; Secondary 26A15, 54C30.

Key words and phrases. Contra-continuous function, Baire-one function, Λ -sets, Lower cut set.

Definition. If ρ is a binary relation in a set S then $\bar{\rho}$ is defined as follows: $x \bar{\rho} y$ if and only if $y \rho v$ implies $x \rho v$ and $u \rho x$ implies $u \rho y$ for any u and v in S .

Definition. A binary relation ρ in the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a topological space X is called a *strong binary relation* in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ in case ρ satisfies each of the following conditions:

- (1) If $A_i \rho B_j$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then there exists a set C in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $A_i \rho C$ and $C \rho B_j$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- (2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \bar{\rho} B$.
- (3) If $A \rho B$, then $A^\Delta \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq B^V$.

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [1] as follows:

Definition. If f is a real-valued function defined on a space X and if $\{x \in X : f(x) < l\} \subseteq A(f, l) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq l\}$ for a real number l , then $A(f, l)$ is a *lower indefinite cut set* in the domain of f at the level l .

We now give the following main result:

Theorem 1. *Let g and f be real-valued functions on the topological space X , that Λ -sets in X are open (resp. G_δ -sets), with $g \leq f$. If there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of X and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$, then there exists a contra-continuous (resp. Baire-one) function h defined on X such that $g \leq h \leq f$.*

Proof. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X such that $g \leq f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation ρ on the power set of X and there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if $t_1 < t_2$ then $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$.

Define functions F and G mapping the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of X by $F(t) = A(f, t)$ and $G(t) = A(g, t)$. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1) \bar{\rho} F(t_2)$, $G(t_1) \bar{\rho} G(t_2)$, and $F(t_1) \rho G(t_2)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [5] it follows that there exists a function H mapping $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of X such that if t_1 and t_2 are any rational numbers with $t_1 < t_2$, then $F(t_1) \rho H(t_2)$, $H(t_1) \rho H(t_2)$ and $H(t_1) \rho G(t_2)$.

For any x in X , let $h(x) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in H(t)\}$.

We first verify that $g \leq h \leq f$: If x is in $H(t)$ then x is in $G(t')$ for any $t' > t$; since x in $G(t') = A(g, t')$ implies that $g(x) \leq t'$, it follows that $g(x) \leq t$. Hence $g \leq h$. If x is not in $H(t)$, then x is not in $F(t')$ for any $t' < t$; since x is not in $F(t') = A(f, t')$ implies that $f(x) > t'$, it follows that $f(x) \geq t$. Hence $h \leq f$.

Also, for any rational numbers t_1 and t_2 with $t_1 < t_2$, we have $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = H(t_2)^V \setminus H(t_1)^\Lambda$. Hence $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2)$ is closed (resp. F_σ -set) in X , i.e., h is a contra-continuous (resp. Baire-one) function on X . $\square$

The above proof used the technique of Theorem 1 of [4].

3. APPLICATIONS

Definition. A real-valued function f defined on a space X is called *upper semi-contra-continuous* (resp. *lower semi-contra-continuous*) if $f^{-1}(-\infty, t)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(t, +\infty)$) is closed for any real number t .

Definition. A real-valued function f defined on a space X is called *upper semi-Baire-one* (resp. *lower semi-Baire-one*) if $f^{-1}(-\infty, t)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(t, +\infty)$) is F_σ -set for any real number t .

The abbreviations *usc*, *lsc*, *uscc*, *lscc*, *usB₁* and *lsB₁* are used for upper semicontinuous, lower semicontinuous, upper semi-contra-continuous, lower semi-contra-continuous, upper semi-Baire-one, and lower semi-Baire-one, respectively.

Corollary 1. *Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on a space X , that Λ -sets in X are open, such that f is *lscc*, g is *uscc*, and $g \leq f$. If X is a extremally disconnected space, then there exists a contra-continuous function h defined on X such that $g \leq h \leq f$.*

Proof. Let g be *uscc*, let f be *lscc*, and $g \leq f$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $A^\Lambda \subseteq B^V$, and if X is a extremally disconnected space then ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X . For each t in $\mathbb{Q}$, let $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ be any lower indefinite cut sets for f and g respectively. If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(f, t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g, t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\}$ is open and since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$ is closed, it follows that $A(f, t_1)^\Lambda \subseteq A(g, t_2)^V$. Hence $t_1 < t_2$ implies that $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on a space X , that Λ -sets in X are open, such that f is *lscc*, g is *uscc*, and $f \leq g$. If X is a normal space, then there exists a contra-continuous h defined on X such that $f \leq h \leq g$.*

Proof. Let f be *lscc*, g be *uscc*, and $f \leq g$. A binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $A^\Lambda \subseteq F \subseteq F^\Lambda \subseteq B^V$ for some closed set F in X . If X is normal, then ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X . If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(g, t_1) = \{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_2\} = A(f, t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\}$ is closed and since $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_2\}$ is open and X is normal, it follows that $A(g, t_1) \rho A(f, t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

Corollary 3. *Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on a space X , that Λ -sets in X are G_δ -sets, such that f is lsB_1 , g is usB_1 , and $g \leq f$. If, for each pair of disjoint G_δ -sets G_0, G_1 , there are two F_σ -sets F_0 and F_1 such that $G_0 \subseteq F_0$, $G_1 \subseteq F_1$ and $F_0 \cap F_1 = \emptyset$, then there exists a Baire-one function h defined on X such that $g \leq h \leq f$.*

Proof. Let f be lsB_1 , g be usB_1 , and $g \leq f$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $A^\Lambda \subseteq B^V$, then by hypothesis ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X . If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(f, t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g, t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\}$ is G_δ -set and since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$ is F_σ -set, it follows that $A(f, t_1)^\Lambda \subseteq A(g, t_2)^V$. Hence $t_1 < t_2$ implies that $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on a space X , that Λ -sets in X are G_δ -sets, such that f is lsB_1 , g is usB_1 , and $f \leq g$. If, for each pair of disjoint F_σ -sets F_0, F_1 , there are two G_δ -sets G_0 and G_1 such that $F_0 \subseteq G_0$, $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ and $G_0 \cap G_1 = \emptyset$, then there exists a Baire-one function h defined on X such that $f \leq h \leq g$.*

Proof. Let f be lsB_1 , g be usB_1 , and $f \leq g$. If a binary relation ρ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $A^\Lambda \subseteq F \subseteq F^\Lambda \subseteq B^V$ for some F_σ -set F in X , then by hypothesis ρ is a strong binary relation in the power set of X . If t_1 and t_2 are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$A(g, t_1) = \{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_2\} = A(f, t_2);$$

since $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\}$ is a F_σ -set and since $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_2\}$ is a G_δ -set, by hypothesis it follows that $A(g, t_1) \rho A(f, t_2)$. The proof follows from Theorem 1. $\square$

Remark 1 ([4], [5]). If g and f be real-valued functions defined on a normal space X such that f is *lsc*, g is *usc*, and $g \leq f$, then there exists a continuous function h defined on X such that $g \leq h \leq f$.

Remark 2 ([8]). If g and f be real-valued functions defined on a extremally disconnected space X such that f is *lsc*, g is *usc*, and $f \leq g$, then exists a continuous function h defined on X such that $f \leq h \leq g$.

We conclude with the observation that in each of the preceding corollaries, the separation property for X , which is used in order to verify that ρ is a strong binary relation, is also a necessary condition for the stated insertion property.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Brooks, Indefinite cut sets for real functions, Amer. Math. Monthly, 78(1971), 1007–1010.
- [2] J. Dontchev, Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed space, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 19(2) (1996), 303–310.

- [3] J. Dontchev, and H. Maki, On sg-closed sets and semi- λ -closed sets, Questions Answers Gen. Topology, 15(2)(1997), 259–266.
- [4] M. Katětov, On real-valued functions in topological spaces, Fund. Math., 38(1951), 85–91.
- [5] M. Katětov, Correction to, “On real-valued functions in topological spaces”, Fund. Math., 40(1953), 203–205.
- [6] S.N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On R_{Os} -spaces, Portugal. Math., 34(1975), 213–217.
- [7] H. Maki, Generalized Λ -sets and the associated closure operator, The special Issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazuada Ikeda’s Retirement, (1986), 139–146.
- [8] M.H. Stone, Boundedness properties in function-lattices, Canad. J. Math., 1(1949), 176–189.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN 81744, IRAN.

E-mail address: mirmir@sci.ui.ac.ir